

REMARKS

Reconsideration is respectfully requested. Claims 1, 4, 9, 12, 15, 18, and 22-36 are pending. Claims 2, 3, 5-8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, and 19-21 have been canceled. Claims 1, 9, 18, 29, and 30 have been amended. New claims 31-36 have been added. Claims 18, 22-28, 30, and 34 have been withdrawn. No new matters have been added due to the amendments. Amendment to and cancellation of the claims does not affect inventorship.

Applicants have not dedicated or abandoned any unclaimed subject matter and moreover have not acquiesced to any rejections made by the Patent Office. Applicants reserve the right to pursue prosecution of any presently excluded claim embodiments in future continuation and/or divisional applications.

Claim Amendment

Claims 1, 9, 18, 29, and 30 have been amended to correct informalities. Claims 29 and 30 have been amended for technical clarity.

Claims Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

Claims 1, 4-6, 9, 12-15, and 29 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description and enablement requirements. Applicants respectfully traverse.

Claims 5, 6, 13, and 14 have been canceled, rendering the rejections moot.

With regards to claims 1 and 9 (and claims dependent therefrom), the claims have been amended to recite the space group and unit cell dimensions, and as such, Applicants believe the rejections under 112, first paragraph for lacking written description and enablement should be withdrawn.

With regards to claim 29, the application meets the written description requirement because the application is sufficient to show that the inventor possessed the claimed invention. Applicants respectfully direct Examiner's attention to Example 13 of *Synopsis of Application of Written Description Guidelines* ("Guidelines").

Claim 1 of Example 13 claims "A isolated protein having SEQ ID NO: 3," where the specification has a working example showing the isolated protein was sequenced and determined to consist of SEQ ID NO:3. As stated in the Guidelines:

A search of the prior art indicates that SEQ ID NO: 3 is novel and nonobvious. The claim is directed to a genus of proteins that comprise SEQ ID NO :3. One member of the genus. SEQ ID NO: 3, is described by a complete structure.

The Guidelines go on to conclude:

The claimed subject matter is adequately described. A rejection under the written description requirement should not be entered.

Applicants submit that the instant application is substantial identical to Example 13. As such, instant claim 29 meets the written description requirement, and the rejection on this basis should be withdrawn.

Applicants further submit that the instant application also meets the enablement requirement because, as discussed above, the instant application not only discloses working examples, but also discloses how to make variants. The disclosure, taken in view of the general knowledge, enables a skilled artisan to practice the claimed invention without undue experimentation. As such, the rejection based on lacking of enablement is improper and should be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are now in condition for allowance and early notification to that effect is respectfully requested. If the Examiner feels there are further unresolved issues, the Examiner is respectfully requested to phone the undersigned at (415) 442-1000.

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

Dated: 3/26/07

Customer Number: 67374
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
One Market, Spear Street Tower
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 442-1000
Facsimile: (415) 442-1001

By: Robin M. Silva

Robin M. Silva, Reg. No. 38,304

Filed Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.34